Welcome to Your NoteFull TOEFL
Jan & Feb Jumpstart 2024 Gold Course

Center for Success with Froggy

Question 1 Training

Question 1

Reading 3 min
Lecture 2 min
Essay 20 min

Article Structure

3:00

Reading Notes

3:00

3:00 — -Background
-Author's claim

2:15 — -1st point
supporting claim

1:30 — -2nd point
supporting claim

0:45 — -3rd point
supporting claim

0:00

R   L

Lecture Notes

R   L

-Background   I disagree...
-Author's claim

-1st point   Wrong...
supporting claim   Correct but...

-2nd point   Wrong...   2 to 4 lines
supporting claim   Correct but...   of notes each

-3rd point   Wrong...
supporting claim   Correct but...

Writing Screen

20:00

You'll type your essay here, being able to see the reading for the full 20 minutes.

Word Count

Essay Structure & Timing

Intro - 30 to 45 words

20:00

Reading

Lecture

Body Para 1 - 60 to 75 words

17:00

Reading

Lecture

Body Para 2 - 60 to 75 words

12:00

Reading

Lecture

Body Para 3 - 60 to 75 words

7:00

Reading

Lecture

2:00

Review Your Essay

0:00

Total 210 to 270 words

Question 2 Training

Question 2

Professor's question
Student response 1
Student response 2
Type your response
10 min total

Time Management

2 minutes to read and brainstorm.

- Answer, reason, evidence

8 minutes to type.

- 12 sentences.
- 15 words per sentence.
- Up to 175 words.
- 20 words per minute typing speed.

1. State your preference.
2. Reference a student that agrees with you.
3. State your own reason.
4. Explain how your reason leads to your preference.
5. Provide *convincing, fully* developed evidence to support your reason.
6. Conclude by restating your preference.

1. I believe standard graduate programs are better than short certification courses even though both have advantages.
2. As Tom wrote, these programs often have better instructors, 3. but they also provide students with amazing and important experiences beyond the degree itself.

Run. Listen to podcasts.

khatija s to Everyone (8:33 PM)
I believe *standard graduate programs* are better than short certification courses even though both have advantages. As Tom wrote, these programs often have better instructors, but *they* also provide full in-depth knowledge on the field of study. With this depth of knowledge, which is often not provided in short certification courses, students can better succeed in their careers.

The students can succeed *with the field of study*.
The field of study *with which* students can succeed is wonderful.

S V O

Field of study…

Subject
Material

"on the same page"
The computer with many applications is on my desk.

but they also provide full in-depth knowledge on the field of study. With this depth of knowledge, which is often not provided in short certification courses, students can better succeed in their careers.

Kiki Kruger to Everyone (8:51 PM)
It is hard to pick the way
> *to express my ideas*
> *as you* are teaching right now
> during the short amount *of time*
> that we have
> on the TOEFL.

The way to succeed is by studying hard.

He ran. Intransitive.
<u>He opened the door. Transitive.</u>
He turned on the light. Phrasal verb.

george mansour to Everyone (9:04 PM)
can we make practice with writing q2 because I confuse

Laura Rodriguez to Everyone (9:50 PM)
I believe standard graduate programs are better than short certification courses although both provide great advantages. I totally agree with Tom's point that these programs often prepare the students better and I would like to add that students will become better candidates when looking for jobs and professional opportunities. *Those programs offer depth lines of study including speech and writing development, which is very valuable for strengthening people skills when presenting an interview.* That's why I think standard graduate programs are better.

Amir Zaman to Host and Panelists (9:51 PM)
I believe that standard courses are better than short certification courses even though both have advantages. As tome wrote, these programs often have better instructions but they also provide students with an. amazing and important experiences beyond the degree itself. Personaly! get improvement with the graduate program in different skills as compare to the short it courses. I remembering when I got graduation in my field then I recognized my weakness, I faced during the short it courses, When I start lecturing the 12" grade students then I realized the importance of graduation program study because I got perfect how to speak in public and how to deliver the subject lecture, I got first position in subject competition where I badly failed during the short it courses competition that why I prefer standard courses as compare to the short certification courses.

vanessa l to Host and Panelists (9:50 PM)

I believe that short certification courses are better tham standard regular programs. As kelly wrote, short certification courses allow students to put in practice the materials learned. Also, these courses save students time. When students are taking short certification programs, they are completing their materials in just few months, which will allow them to use this time saved to start working as soon as possible. For example, I enrolled my self in the pharmacy teechnician courses, which are being completed in only six months. When I finished them, I was able to work in a retail pharmacy and was able to build my experience sooner, which is not the case when someone is taking a traditional program like my friend. When we both applied for a job in a pharmaceutical company, they chose experience, which I was able to build in the years that I saved over, over programs. This is why I prefer to take short certification courses over traditional school graduation. 163 words.

Question 2 Practice

Your professor is teaching a class on environmental science. Write a post responding to the professor's question.

In your response, you should do the following.

- Express and support your opinion.
- Make a contribution to the discussion in your own words.
- An effective response will contain at least 100 words.

Professor Zacking

This week, we've been discussing how cars that run on gasoline contribute to climate change through the green house gases they emit. Let's consider two possible ways the government can encourage the use of more electric vehicles to limit this. One method is to create an additional tax people who own gasoline-powered cars must pay. The second is to offer free government loans to companies that manufacture electric cars and for people to buy them. Which method do you think is better? Why?

Hannah

Though I don't see it as being popular, taxing people who own gasoline-powered cars will be much more effective. It will force people with these cars to sell them to purchase vehicles to avoid paying the tax. I don't think anyone wants to pay an additional tax every year that they own a vehicle when electric vehicles are reliable and beneficial for the environment.

Francisco

Offering free loans would be much more effective than taxing people. If I knew that I could get a free loan for buying an electric car, I would buy one. Everyone wants to save money, so this will be popular and make a significant difference in buying habits. This desire applies even more for manufacturing businesses.

Time to Celebrate

We completed our writing!